

HOW TO ACHIEVE SUSTAINABILITY IN IRRIGATION SCHEMES WITH PRIVATE SECTOR PARTICIPATION

Jyoti Bisbey Infrastructure Finance Specialist World Bank Group October 15, 2015 ICID Irrigation PPP conference, Montpellier

Contents

- I. Private sector participation in irrigation
- II.Irrigation PPP Toolkit
- III.Lessons learned
- IV.Way forward



I.1. Growing investment needs in irrigation

- High and increasing construction costs and poor production performance
- Negative environmental impacts
- Conflicted role of governments w.r.t. irrigation
- Scarcity of local budgets
- Lack of technical expertise and capacity for
 - Designing and building systems
 - Efficient operation and maintenance
 - Innovation and creativity in supplying to the changing demand
- Low water charge and poor recovery rates
- Poor collection rates



I.2. Resulting in...

- Stagnation of existing infrastructure
 - Increase in water losses
 - Increase in maintenance costs
- New systems completely dependent on grant funding
- Negative impact on crop yields and quality
- Government paying for both capital costs and 0&M expenses
 - Water tariffs not enough even for irrigation O&M

...lack of performance and self- sustainability of irrigation systems



I.3. What is needed?

- How can the system be designed in a sustainable manner?
 - Not only from engineering & environmental perspective but also functional over long term
 - Linking production to capital investment
- Develop a framework which would transcend from one implementation arrangement to the next
 - Institute appropriate contractual and institutional arrangements
 - Incentive and results-based instead of input-based
- How to ensure "market" is prepared to invest in long term assets for irrigation and agriculture

I.4. Need to combine public and private resources more efficiently

- Government support is needed
 - But in what part of irrigation? How?
- Make the project 'viable'?
 - For farmers and other users
 - For the government
 - For private sector



- Need to view Irrigation as a "business"
 - Shift from a public good to a social good
 - Delivery by private and benefit transfer
- Develop a better understanding of private sector involvement in irrigation
 - Can the private sector deliver better service?



I.5. Private investment in water sector by region





I.6.water is a small part of overall private investment

- Total private investment in infrastructure in energy, transport, and water and sanitation sectors increased 6% to US\$107.5 billion in 2014
- Five countries accounted for 73% of total investment and 63% of all projects



Private Participation in Infrastructure by Sector



Source: PPI Database, World Bank Group

I.9. Motivation for private sector participation (PSP) in irrigation is **same** as any other infrastructure

- Government will be able to use its resources more efficiently if the private sector can:
 - Bring financing support
 - Share risks
 - Bring technical expertise for better service
 - Enhance transparency
 - Bring sustainability over life

Value for Money (VfM) for government



I.10. What is a Public-Private Partnership (PPP)?

• "A long-term contractual arrangement between a public entity or authority and a private entity for providing a public asset or service in which the private party bears significant risk and management responsibility."

http://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/publicprivatepartnerships



I.11. Each phase of infrastructure project has challenges





II.1 Toolkit overview

- Objective
- to provide governments, public authorities and other interested stakeholders with a practical guide on how to design and tender sustainable PPP arrangements in the irrigation sector
- Value added
- its systematic, project-based focus on how to prepare, structure, and implement a PPP project specific to irrigation



II.2. Structure of the toolkit...in draft





II.3. Approach based on case studies





II.4. Comparison of selected case studies

| Underlying features | Guerdane Morocco | Goulburn-Murray Australia | Megech-Seraba Ethiopia | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Costs | \$85 million | \$2.1 billion | billion \$590 million | | |
| Farmer experience | Established | Established Established | | Limited | |
| Farming activity | Cash-crops | Mixed | Mixed Mixed | | |
| Size and scope | Up to 10,000 ha | 900,000 ha 43,500 ha | | 4,040 ha | |
| Designed feature | | | | | |
| Project preparation and sponsorship | Design Build Operate | OMM | Concession | Public finance w/ private OMM | |
| Farming model/plan | None | Design and Build (public), distribution (private) | None | Set up of Water Users Associations | |
| Farmer participation | Off taker | Off taker | Off taker | Via WUAs & KPIs | |
| Financing | Public private | Public private | Public private Irrigation (private) | Public via IDA credit | |



III.1. Enabling environment first step towards sustainability

- Regulation on private sector's role in irrigation
 - Appropriate legal framework e.g. Brazil
 - Impact on public budget, tax and subsidy
 - Priorities in water use, storage and conservation
 - Needs to be aligned with overall PPP policy framework and integrated with local policies
- Example
 - India, State of Uttar Pradesh has enacted Water Resources Regulatory Authority/Commission Act
 - Regulating water as a resource, assuring judicious, equitable and sustainable management,
 - Allocating and optimal utilization of water for environmental, agriculture, industrial, power, flood protection, and drinking purposes
 - Ethiopia, policy to set up WUAs and their role in OMM



III.2a. Emphasis on project preparation





III.2b. Financial viability generally not part of decision process

- Irrigation schemes are self-contained and completely dependent on viability of the agricultural activity
 - Only agricultural production activity (or off take) creates economic value
 - Access to markets
 - Incentives to improve inputs
- Project viability is dependent both on the level and reliability of the revenues (i.e. Price X Quantity= Revenues)
 - Limited flexibility in adjusting the irrigation tariff
 - Water demand is uncertain, more so if users have to pay
 - Thus, payment risk becomes the most critical
- Can Government support mitigate payment risk?
 - Direct and indirect



III.2c. Typology of government support

- Subsidy (direct)
 - Upfront payment for capex
 - Over lifetime for opex
 - Periodic payments in support of operational revenues (Use of availability payment)
 - Output-based payments linked to crop yield or some other performance measure
- Indirect
 - Policy and regulatory e.g. tariff adjustment, enforcement of illegal usage, social issues
 - Contingent support e.g early termination payments, f/x coverage, change in law, licenses
- Support to lenders on security packages
 - Whether assets, tangible and intangible can be pledged
 - Use of government funds as possible collateral



III.3a. Understanding the risks and optimal risk transfer

| Demand | Commercial risk |
|--|---|
| Off-take, hydrology | Land rights/allocations/power supply |
| Collection (from users) | Force majeure |
| Payment (from users) | Natural or political |
| Social-political profile | Regulatory |
| Financing risk | Tariff adjustment |
| Debt service, F/X as revenues are in local | Operational risk |
| currency, security package | Performance |
| Construction risk | Linkage to agri-business? |
| Delays and overruns | |
| | |

Important to understand risks to better allocate them to achieve better Value for Money and create a sustainable scheme



III.3b. Preferences on risk allocation

| | Public risk | | S | Shared risk | | | | Private risk | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|------------|------|-------------|------|------|--------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | U.K. China | | Hong Kong | | | Greece | | | | | | |
| Туре | Risks | Pub. | Prv. | Shr. | Pub. | Prv. | Shr. | Pub. | Prt. | Shr. | Pub. | Prt. | Shr. |
| Legal | Legislation change | 17 | 22 | 61 | 56 | 33 | 22 | 77 | 7 | 16 | 67 | 29 | 4 |
| Legal | Change in tax regulation | 18 | 51 | 31 | 35 | 35 | 30 | 56 | 28 | 16 | 76 | 4 | 20 |
| Regulation | Land acquisition | 61 | 12 | 27 | 39 | 24 | 37 | 63 | 17 | 20 | 55 | 16 | 29 |
| Regulation | Delay in project approvals and permits | 35 | 32 | 33 | 60 | 21 | 19 | 48 | 23 | 29 | 56 | 0 | 44 |
| Construction | Late design changes | 26 | 53 | 21 | 12 | 49 | 39 | 19 | 44 | 37 | 4 | 76 | 20 |
| Construction | Excessive contract variation | 33 | 26 | 41 | 6 | 19 | 75 | 13 | 35 | 52 | 0 | 92 | 8 |
| Project finance | Availability of finance | 0 | 85 | 15 | 2 | 64 | 34 | 9 | 70 | 21 | 28 | 20 | 52 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

- Macro, legal and regulatory level risks generally taken by public
- Construction, financial and project level risks are usually allocated to the private sector

WORLD BANK GROUP

Source: Ke, Yongjian, ShouQing Wang, and Albert PC Chan. "Risk allocation in public-private partnership infrastructure projects: comparative study." *Journal of Infrastructure Systems* 16.4 (2010): 21 343-351.

III.3c. Risk matrix in selected case studies

| | Guerdane Morocco | Goulburn-Murray Australia | Olmos Peru | Megech-Seraba Ethiopia | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Demand | Developer | Public | Developer | Public | | |
| Financing - Debt service - Foreign Exchange | - Developer - Shared | - Public - Public | - Developer - Shared | - Public - Public | | |
| Construction | Developer | Public | Developer | Public | | |
| Operational - Design - Handover - O&M | - Developer - Public - Developer | - Public - Public - Operator | - Developer - Public - Operator | - Public - Shared - Operator | | |
| Commercial - Service coverage - Land - Power | - Developer - Farmers - Developer | - Operator - Farmers - Operator | - Operator - Farmers - Operator | - Operator (KPIs) - Farmers - Public | | |
| Force Majeure Nature (Drought) | Shared | Shared | Shared | Public | | |
| Public obligations - Govt payments - Tariff adjustments | -Yes - Developer (bidding criteria) | -Yes - Operator (bidding criteria) | -Yes - Developer | -Yes - Public | | |



III.4. Third party participation brings sustainability

- WUAs with transfer of OMM services (59%)
- Forms of involvement: development, design, building, financing, supply and service provision, market access, fee collection, equipment supply
- Benefits
 - Positive outcome with efficient and quality service
 - Filling gaps where government is at a disadary and low entry the second s
 - Decreased transaction costs





III.5. Long term contractual relationship is needed

- Contract to provide clarity and certainty, while giving room for flexibility
- Some clauses standard, while others are not
 - Key areas:

Definitions

KPIs

Type of PPP

Duration

Risk

identification,

allocation

Early termination

Party obligations

Tariffs

- Monitoring & reporting
- Dispute resolution

Force Majeure



- Average number of years in cases
 - Concession 25 years
 - Operation and maintenance 7.5 years

– Lease 15 years

WORLD BANK GROUP

III.6a. Contract management is often missing

- Definition of partnership between public and private
- Roles and responsibilities
- Administration of PK
- Project management
- Takes place during both construction and service delivery
- Contract monitoring starts as soon as the project is awarded to WORLD BANK GROUP the private sector



III.6b. Role of performance indicators

- KPIs to track project
 progress and performance
 e·g·
 - Irrigation efficiency
 - Collection
 - Creation and training of WUAs
 - Staffing and labor
 - Registration of users
 - Accessibility
- Progressive KPIs
 - E.g. Megech-Seraba OMM contract
- Irrespective of public/pvt²
 service provider

WORLDBANKEROU COElho Brazil



III.7a. Public sector has many roles

Traditional procurement (all public)

- Policy development and planning
- Regulation enforcement
- Water rights administration
- Granting authority
- Design and building irrigation schemes
- □ Financing irrigation schemes
- Setting tariff and collection
- Asset ownership
- Administration, operation and maintenance of irrigation system with participation of users
- □ Ensuring water security
- Performance monitoring and project implementation oversight

PPP arrangement (Public and private)

- Policy development and planning
- Regulation enforcement
- Water rights administration
- Granting authority
- Design and building irrigation schemes (Private)
- □ Financing irrigation schemes (Private)
- □ Setting tariff
- □ Collection of fees (Private)
- Asset ownership
- Administration, operation and maintenance of irrigation system with participation of users (Private)
- Ensuring water security
- Performance monitoring and project implementation oversight



Government is reducing its involvement and thus is reducing the fiscal responsibility

III.7b. Need to simplify the roles....



Maximum risk transfer to achieve just enough bankability



III.8. Affordability has different meanings

Public sector's perspective

Government can afford the level of expenditure required to support the implementation of the scheme on a sustainable basis

Farmers' perspective

Farmers' level of income postimplementation of the PPP scheme is higher than preimplementation, taking in account any required increase in water user charges

Private sector's perspective

User fees and government payments are large enough to help Pvt Sector recover any capital and O&M costs that Private Sector expect to face as part of the proposed PPP arrangements charges



IV.1. Need to develop a solution that brings about ...

Inconnective of whether it is public on DOP

 Better use of Government resources to bring the right framework, expertise and financing to achieve self-viability and sustainability

Right framework

- Smooth transition from one implementation arrangement to the next
- Institute appropriate contractual and institutional arrangements
- Creates incentives for performance and efficiency

Right expertise

- Design schemes in a sustainable manner
- Not only from engineering & environmental perspective but also from O&M
- Link capital investment to crop production levels

Right financing

Schame

- Incentive and results-based instead of inputbased
- Self-sufficient to operate by itself
- Bears life cycle
 costs
- Lowest cost and highest revenues for all stakeholders



IV.2. Way forward

- Long term perspective and consistency rethink role of the State
 - Decentralization, integration with value chains
 - Role of SOEs
- Need to use public resources more efficiently
 - Current 'single' mechanism for public money is inefficient (avoid economic and market distortions)
- Unlike in other sectors, the role of PPP remains limited in the case of irrigation projects
 - Strong evidence to show 3rd party involvement improves quality and efficiency of service
- Hybrid approaches
 - Greenfield e.g. design, supervision and OMM or EPC plus OMM till ramp up
- Build capacity at institution level
 - Minimum level of knowledge of structuring and contract management
 - One solution is to train in PPPs
 world BANK GROUP









Ms. Jyoti Bisbey

PPP Unit of the World Bank Group 1818 H Street, NW Washington, DC 20433 Office: + 202-473-1771 Cell: + 240-277-9880 Email: jbisbey@worldbank.org